

Date: Wed, 2 Nov 94 04:30:17 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: List
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #516
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Wed, 2 Nov 94 Volume 94 : Issue 516

Today's Topics:

CW exemption for Old Fellows?
May I transmit or not?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 1 Nov 1994 19:24:17 GMT
From: mjsilva@ix.netcom.com (michael silva)
Subject: CW exemption for Old Fellows?

I just got my November QST and there's a little article about the FCC
denying a petition to grant an automatic CW exemption for anyone over
65. I hadn't heard about this petition before. The guy who submitted
the petition is a 67 year-old Tech, and he claims that old age results
in diminished faculties and that people 65 and older were severely
disabled in terms of passing a code exam, so should be granted a waiver.
The FCC (rightly) turned him down, stating that there was already a
provision to grant waivers for recognized disabilities.

The article also states that the FCC received *no* comments on the
petition. How is it that nobody knew about this?

What I want to know is, how the 65+ crowd feels about somebody trying to
codify into regulation your age as a "severe disability"? I think I'll
enjoy the responses.

Mike, KK6GM

Date: 31 Oct 1994 17:19:59 GMT
From: alata@ganges.ece.utexas.edu (Dr. Arata)
Subject: May I transmit or not?

Hello all. My name is Arata.

I came from Japan and I got reciprocal permit from FCC. Last saturday, I passed General Exam. And this is my first american license. This makes me happy and sad.

The problem is :

I was told by someone I cannot transmit till I will get my american license. It makes me sad and feel strange. Because,

1. I do have reciprocal permit and I transmitted before I took the exam.
2. If I fail the exam I can transit. But when I pass the exam I CANNOT. Isn't it strange?
3. American license holders can transmit on their new frequency when they pass the upgrade exam, BEFORE they will get new license.

Anyway I will wait till I will get my american license and it makes me to have a lot of time to prepare my upgrade.

Arata Miyauchi
was W5/7K3RFF will be ??5???
alata@ganges.ece.utexas.edu

Date: Tue, 1 Nov 94 17:50:27 -0500
From: Ed Ellers <edellers@delphi.com>

References<CCG8H1.IGJ@news.Hawaii.Edu> <CyIHLE.E0C@zeno.fit.edu>,
<1994Oct31.190339.15079@arrl.org>
Subject: Re: I WANT, I WANT, I WANT, I WANT Wah Wah Wah

Ed Hare (KA1CV) <ehare@arrl.org> writes:

>Well, I have heard problems on CW; my favorite frequency always seems to be
>the national tune-up frequency. Although I think these problems are less on
>CW than they are on phone, it is my conjecture that this is probably due to
>two reasons: some of the phone problems are from non-hams who can't really do
>any other kind of operating (they probably wouldn't know CW), and, more
>likely, it is too much work to get on CW and swear for hours on end. :-)

I thought the W1AW CW bulletin frequencies were "the national tune-up freqs..."

: -)

Date: 30 Oct 1994 04:34:55 GMT
From: billsohl@earth.planet.net (Bill Sohl Budd Lake)

References<Cy8u0z.6HJ@news.Hawaii.Edu> <38jrgg\$60a@abyss.West.Sun.COM>,
<CyB5vA.9w8@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Subject: Re: Questions on this and that

Jeffrey Herman (jeffrey@kahuna.tmc.edu) wrote:
: The 10-minute ID is what I had in mind, Dana. But I still wouldn't test
: the FCC regarding this. Along these lines:

: Here's a cute anecdote provided by Chuck K5F0: During the late 50's,
: the phrase 'Shave and a haircut - two bits' became popular on
: either the broadcast AM radio or TV (might have been a commercial).
: Hams started using the first part (.) in place of CQ on
: HF. Another station hearing the psudo-CQ would answer with the
: ``two bits' part: . . and the QSO would then take off. This
: got very popular with US hams but the FCC took a dim view of it
: and started handing out lots of pink slips. The dit dit is still
: retained on HF today - you'll hear a CW op end a QSO with that.

: Why would the FCC not like the / . . exchange in
: place of CQ and the proper response? Only recognized prosigns
: are to be used on CW. Thus, I wouldn't test the FCC regarding
: sending an A or N or T in place of 1 or 6 or 0, respectively,
: with regard to a callsign exchange.

This (the shave & a haircut story) sounds like pure myth to me.
Anyone have any actual references (i.e. QST articles/story) to
back up this claim? Not meant as a flame, just want to
validate this story.

--
Bill Sohl K2UNK (billsohl@planet.net)
Budd Lake, New Jersey

Date: 2 Nov 1994 06:13:10 GMT
From: gbrown@unlinfo.unl.edu (gregory brown)

References<102794072745Rnf0.78@amcomp.com> <38rm5k\$3hb@crcnis1.unl.edu>,
<1994Nov2.022732.8616@ke4zv.atl.ga.us>

Subject: Re: Kindness and ham radio

Gary Coffman (gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us) wrote:

: In article <38rm5k\$3hb@crcnis1.unl.edu> gbrown@unlinfo.unl.edu (gregory brown)
writes:

(everything we both said is deleted because it has nothing to do with
ham radio and I'm sure everyone is tired of it...but thanks for doing
your part to confirm my observations about how ridiculous your
position is :-))

: Gary

: --

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------|--|--------------------------|
| : Gary Coffman KE4ZV | | You make it, | | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary |
| : Destructive Testing Systems | | we break it. | | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary |
| : 534 Shannon Way | | Guaranteed! | | gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us |
| : Lawrenceville, GA 30244 | | | | |

Oh, wait, I get it!!! "Destructive Testing Systems"! You have a
vested interest in speed!!! Sorry!

Greg

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #516
